



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,377		07/27/2001	Alexander Olek	81702	2009
23685	7590	05/24/2004		EXAMINER	
		RIEGSMAN	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
665 FRAN FRAMING	IKLIN STR BHAM. M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1637	•
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/890,377	OLEK, ALEXANDER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processed by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 April 2004.					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withen 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. Id/or election requirement. Ininer. Id/or election requirement. Ininer. Id/or election requirement. Ininer. Id/or election required if the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ımmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Status

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claims 1-24 are rejected.

The current action is a NON-FINAL action since the new 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection over claim 24 was not necessitated by amendment. While the 103(a) rejection over the other claims is currently withdrawn in view of the amendment, the rejection would be reapplied and the action made final, if the new matter is removed from the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 – New Matter

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As MPEP 2163.06 notes " If new matter is added to the claims, the examiner should reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph - written description requirement. In re Rasmussen , 650 F.2d 1212, 211 USPQ 323 (CCPA 1981)."

Art Unit: 1637

Here, the new limitation to claim 1, "nonspecifically with regard to methylation of said genomic DNA sample" is apparently new matter. There was no citation of any location in the specification which supported this limitation. A careful review by the examiner of the specification failed to identify any support for this new negative limitation. In particular, while page 10 discusses amplification, as do pages 11 and 12, there is no discussion whatsoever to support a negative limitation that the genomic DNA sample is amplified "nonspecifically with regard to methylation."

As noted by MPEP 2173.05(I),

"Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983) aff'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement."

Since no basis has been found to support the new claim limitation in the specification, the claims are rejected as incorporating new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - Second paragraph

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is vague and indefinite what is meant by the phrase "are immobilized on the latter." On the "latter" what? It is entirely unclear to which thing the term "latter" is referring, whether it is the "sample holder", the "mass spectrometer", the "kit" or something else.

Art Unit: 1637

It is vague and indefinite what is meant by the entire phrase "containing a sample holder for a mass spectrometer which is modified in such a way that randomly selected portions of a genome are immobilized on the latter, and/or probe libraries". Is this claim referring to alternatives of a kit with a probe library or a kit with a sample holder. The claim states "and/or probe libraries", but it is unclear to what element the "or" is referring. Further, it is entirely unclear whether the sample holder must have DNA immobilized on it, or whether the sample holder simply must be capable of having DNA immobilized to it. Given these significant ambiguities, the Koster reference is applied below as a 102 rejection, since Koster meets, within limits of intelligibility, the structural limitations of this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koster et al. Koster teaches kits (see column 20, lines 15-49) which contain:

Sample holders such as solid supports for mass spectrometer measurement (see column 20, line 18-24, for example)

Nucleic acids (see column 20, lines 15-49),

Optional buffers (see column 20, lines 38-41, which meets the other chemicals, solvents and adjuvants alternative element).

Art Unit: 1637

Koster expressly teaches supports with nucleic acids bound to them (see column 22, example 2).

It is noted that the instructions are given no patentable weight.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is (571)272-0742. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571)272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637